



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/766,456	01/19/2001	John E. Cronin	ipCG-006	8647	
7	590 01/18/2005		EXAM	INER	
ipCAPITAL GROUP, INC. ATTEN: RYAN K. SIMMONS			MOONEYHAM, JANICE A		
	N K. SIMMONS STONE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 325			3629		
WILLISTON,	VT 05495		DATE MAILED: 01/18/200:	DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				9.0-
	Apı	plication No.	Applicant(s)	•
		766,456	CRONIN, JOHN E.	
	Exa	aminer	Art Unit	-
V	/	Mooneyham	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PEI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply within aximum statutory period will app d for reply will, by statute, cause e months after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nc.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 19 Januar	v 2001		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This action			
3)☐ Since this application is in co	•		osecution as to the merits i	s .
closed in accordance with th	e practice under <i>Ex pa</i>	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to	is/are withdrawn fro d. ed. ed to.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) i 11) The oath or declaration is obj	_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted any objection to the drawind and the drawind the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance. Se required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) No. 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the In * See the attached detailed Offi	ne of: priority documents hav priority documents hav copies of the priority d ternational Bureau (PC	ve been received. ve been received in Applicat ocuments have been receiv CT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	2i (DTO C42)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date			rate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/766,456

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A is drawn to a method of identifying a full range of patentable aspects of an invention;

Species B is directed to a method of training an inventor in identifying a full range of patentable aspects of an invention;

Species C is drawn to a method of documenting a full range of patentable aspects of an invention;

Species D is drawn to a method or reducing a document list of inventions to a minimal group of inventions needed to capture a defined intellectual property space wherein said intellectual property space is defined by an assignee's business strategy and business drivers.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 09/766,456

Art Unit: 3629

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Ryan Simmons on January 6, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan Mooneyham whose telephone number is (703) 305-8554.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/766,456

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JМ

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

jui.